Rademacher functions

Jordan Bell

July 16, 2014

1 Binary expansions

Define $S: \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \to [0,1]$ by

$$S(\sigma) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_k}{2^k}, \qquad \sigma \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

For example, for $\sigma_1 = 0$ and $\sigma_2 = 1, \sigma_3 = 1, \ldots$,

$$S(\sigma) = \frac{0}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} + \dots = \frac{1}{2};$$

for $\sigma_1 = 1$ and $\sigma_2 = 0$, $\sigma_3 = 0$, ...,

$$S(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{0}{4} + \frac{0}{8} + \dots = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Let $\sigma \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$. If there is some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sigma_n = 0$ and $\sigma_k = 1$ for all $k \geq n+1$, then defining

$$\tau_k = \begin{cases} \sigma_k & k \le n - 1\\ 1 & k = n\\ 0 & k \ge n, \end{cases}$$

we have

$$S(\sigma) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\sigma_k}{2^k} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\sigma_k}{2^k} + \frac{1}{2^n} = S(\tau).$$

One proves that if either (i) there is some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sigma_n = 0$ and $\sigma_k = 1$ for all $k \ge n+1$ or (ii) there is some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sigma_n = 1$ and $\sigma_k = 0$ for all $k \ge n+1$, then $S^{-1}(S(\sigma))$ contains exactly two elements, and that otherwise $S^{-1}(S(\sigma))$ contains exactly one element.

In words, except for the sequence whose terms are only 0 or the sequence whose terms are only 1, $S^{-1}(S(\sigma))$ contains exactly two elements when σ is eventually 0 or eventually 1, and $S^{-1}(S(\sigma))$ contains exactly one element otherwise.

We define $\epsilon:[0,1]\to\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ by taking $\epsilon(t)$ to be the unique element of $S^{-1}(t)$ if $S^{-1}(t)$ contains exactly one element, and to be the element of $S^{-1}(t)$ that is eventually 0 if $S^{-1}(t)$ contains exactly two elements. For $k\in\mathbb{N}$ we define $\epsilon_k:[0,1]\to\{0,1\}$ by

$$\epsilon_k(t) = \epsilon(t)_k, \qquad t \in [0, 1].$$

Then, for all $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$t = S(\epsilon(t)) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon_k(t)}{2^k},$$
(1)

which we call the binary expansion of t.

2 Rademacher functions

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the kth Rademacher function $r_k : [0,1] \to \{-1,+1\}$ is defined by

$$r_k(t) = 1 - 2\epsilon_k(t), \qquad t \in [0, 1].$$

We can rewrite the binary expansion of $t \in [0, 1]$ in (1) as

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{r_k(t)}{2^k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2^k} - 2 \cdot \frac{\epsilon_k(t)}{2^k} \right) = 1 - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon_k(t)}{2^k} = 1 - 2t.$$
 (2)

Define $r: \mathbb{R} \to \{-1, +1\}$ by

$$r(x) = (-1)^{[x]},$$

where [x] denotes the greatest integer $\leq x$. Thus, for $0 \leq x < 1$ we have r(x) = 1, for $1 \leq x < 2$ we have r(x) = -1, and r has period 2.

Lemma 1. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$r_n(t) = (-1)^{[2^n t]} = r(2^n t), \qquad t \in [0, 1]$$

In the following theorem we use the Rademacher functions to prove an identity for trigonometric functions. 1

Theorem 2. For any nonzero real x,

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \cos \frac{x}{2^k} = \frac{\sin x}{x}.$$

 $^{^1{\}rm Mark}$ Kac, Statistical Independence in Probability, Analysis and Number Theory, p. 4, $\S 3.$

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $c_1, \ldots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$. The function

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k r_k$$

is constant on each of the intervals

$$\left[\frac{s}{2^n}, \frac{s+1}{2^n}\right), \qquad 0 \le s \le 2^n - 1.$$
 (3)

There is a bijection between $\Delta_n = \{-1, +1\}^n$ and the collection of intervals (3). Without explicitly describing this bijection, we have

$$\int_0^1 \exp\left(i\sum_{k=1}^n c_k r_k(t)\right) dt = \sum_{s=0}^{2^n - 1} \int_{s \cdot 2^{-n}}^{(s+1) \cdot 2^{-n}} \exp\left(i\sum_{k=1}^n c_k r_k(t)\right) dt$$

$$= \sum_{\delta \in \Delta_n} \frac{1}{2^n} \exp\left(i\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_k c_k\right)$$

$$= \sum_{\delta \in \Delta_n} \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{e^{i\delta_k c_k}}{2}$$

$$= \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{e^{ic_k} + e^{-ic_k}}{2},$$

giving

$$\int_{0}^{1} \exp\left(i \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k} r_{k}(t)\right) dt = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \cos c_{k}.$$
 (4)

We have

$$\int_0^1 e^{ix(1-2t)} dt = e^{ix} \frac{e^{-2ixt}}{-2ix} \Big|_0^1 = e^{ix} \left(\frac{e^{-2ix}}{-2ix} + \frac{1}{2ix} \right) = \frac{\sin x}{x}.$$
 (5)

Using (2) we check that the sequence of functions $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{r_k(t)}{2^k}$ converges uniformly on [0, 1] to 1-2t, and hence using (5) we get

$$\int_0^1 \exp\left(ix \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{r_k(t)}{2^k}\right) dt \to \int_0^1 e^{ix(1-2t)} dt = \frac{\sin x}{x}$$

as $n \to \infty$. Combining this with (4), which we apply with $c_k = \frac{x}{2^k}$, we get

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n} \cos \frac{x}{2^k} \to \frac{\sin x}{x}$$

as $n \to \infty$, proving the claim.

We now give an explicit formula for the measure of those t for which exactly l of $r_1(t), \ldots, r_n(t)$ are equal to $1.^2$ We denote by μ Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . We can interpret the following formula as stating the probability that out of n tosses of a coin, exactly l of the outcomes are heads.

Theorem 3. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \le l \le n$,

$$\mu\{t \in [0,1]: r_1(t) + \dots + r_n(t) = 2l - n\} = \frac{1}{2^n} \binom{n}{l}.$$

Proof. Define $\phi:[0,1]\to\mathbb{R}$ by

$$\phi(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{ix(-(2l-n) + \sum_{k=1}^n r_k(t))} dx$$

But for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{imx} dx = \delta_{m,0} = \begin{cases} 1 & m = 0\\ 0 & m \neq 0, \end{cases}$$
 (6)

hence

$$\phi(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k(t) = 2l - n \\ 0 & \sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k(t) \neq 2l - n. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} \mu \bigg\{ t \in [0,1] : \sum_{k=1}^n r_k(t) &= 2l - n \bigg\} &= \int_0^1 \phi(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{ix \left(-(2l-n) + \sum_{k=1}^n r_k(t) \right)} dx dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ix(2l-n)} \int_0^1 e^{ix \sum_{k=1}^n r_k(t)} dt dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ix(2l-n)} \cos^n x dx; \end{split}$$

the last equality uses (4) with $c_1 = x, ..., c_n = x$. Furthermore, writing

$$\cos^n x = 2^{-n} (e^{ix} + e^{-ix}) = 2^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} e^{ix(2k-n)},$$

 $^{^2}$ Mark Kac, Statistical Independence in Probability, Analysis and Number Theory, pp. 8–9.

we calculate using (6) that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{-ix(2l-n)} \cos^{n} x dx = 2^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{1} e^{-ix(2l-n)} e^{ix(2k-n)} dx$$

$$= 2^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{1} e^{ix(2k-2l)} dx$$

$$= 2^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \delta_{2k-2l,0}$$

$$= 2^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \delta_{k,l}$$

$$= 2^{-n} \binom{n}{l},$$

proving the claim.

We now prove that the expected value of a product of distinct Rademacher functions is equal to the product of their expected values. 3

Theorem 4. If k_1, \ldots, k_n are positive integers and $k_1 < \cdots < k_n$, then

$$\int_0^1 r_{k_1}(t) \cdots r_{k_n}(t) dt = 0.$$

Proof. Write $J = \int_0^1 r_{k_1}(t) \cdots r_{k_n}(t) dt$ and define

$$\phi(x) = \prod_{s=2}^{n} r(2^{k_s - k_1} x), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

which satisfies

$$\phi(x+1) = \prod_{s=2}^{n} r(2^{k_s - k_1}x + 2^{k_s - k_1}) = \prod_{s=2}^{n} r(2^{k_s - k_1}x) = \phi(x).$$

³Masayoshi Hata, Problems and Solutions in Real Analysis, p. 185, Solution 13.2.

Hence, as ϕ has period 1 and r has period 2,

$$\begin{split} J &= \int_0^1 r_{k_1}(t)\phi(2^{k_1}t)dt \\ &= \int_0^1 r(2^{k_1}t)\phi(2^{k_1}t)dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{k_1}} \int_0^{2^{k_1}} r(x)\phi(x)dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{k_1}} \sum_{j=0}^{2^{k_1-1}-1} \int_{2j}^{2j+2} r(x)\phi(x)dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{k_1}} \sum_{j=0}^{2^{k_1-1}-1} \int_0^2 r(x)\phi(x)dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^2 r(x)\phi(x)dx. \end{split}$$

But, as ϕ has period 1,

$$\int_0^2 r(x)\phi(x)dx = \int_0^1 \phi(x)dx - \int_1^2 \phi(x)dx = \int_0^1 \phi(x)dx - \int_0^1 \phi(x)dx = 0,$$

hence J = 0, proving the claim.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if f is a function defined on the integers we define

$$I_n(f) = \int_0^1 f\left(\sum_{k=1}^n r_k(t)\right) dt.$$

Lemma 5. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$I_n(x^2) = n,$$
 $I_n(x^4) = 3n^2 - 2n.$

Proof. Using Theorem 4 we get

$$I_n(x^2) = \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{k=1}^n r_k(t)\right)^2 dt$$

$$= \int_0^1 \sum_{k=1}^n r_k(t)^2 + \sum_{j \neq k} r_j(t) r_k(t) dt$$

$$= \int_0^1 \sum_{k=1}^n r_k(t)^2 dt$$

$$= n.$$

Using Theorem 4 we get, since $r_j(t)^4 = r_j(t)^2 = 1$ and $r_j(t)^3 = r_j(t)$,

$$I_{n}(x^{4}) = \int_{0}^{1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} r_{k}(t)\right)^{4} dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} r_{k}(t)^{4} + {4 \choose 3} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k \neq j} r_{j}(t)^{3} r_{k}(t) + {4 \choose 2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k \neq j} r_{j}(t)^{2} r_{k}(t)^{2}$$

$$+ {4 \choose 2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j,k,l} \sum_{\text{all distinct}} r_{j}(t)^{2} r_{k}(t) r_{l}(t)$$

$$+ \sum_{j,k,l,m \text{ all distinct}} r_{j}(t) r_{k}(t) r_{l}(t) r_{m}(t) dt$$

$$= n + {4 \choose 2} n(n-1).$$

Our proof of the next identity follows Hata.⁴

Lemma 6. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$I_n(|x|) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \cos^n x}{x^2} dx.$$

Proof. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c_1, \ldots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\int_{0}^{1} \exp\left(i \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k} r_{k}(t)\right) dt = \int_{0}^{1} \cos\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k} r_{k}(t)\right) dt + i \int_{0}^{1} \sin\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k} r_{k}(t)\right) dt,$$

and since (4) tells us that the left-hand side of the above is real, it follows that we can write (4) as

$$\int_{0}^{1} \cos \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k} r_{k}(t) \right) dt = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \cos c_{k}.$$
 (7)

Suppose that ξ is a positive real number. Using $t=x\xi$ and doing integration by parts,

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \cos x \xi}{x^2} dx = \xi \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \cos t}{t^2} dt$$

$$= \xi \frac{1 - \cos t}{-t} \Big|_0^\infty + \xi \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin t}{t} dt$$

$$= \xi \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin t}{t} dt$$

$$= \xi \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

⁴Masayoshi Hata, Problems and Solutions in Real Analysis, p. 188, Solution 13.6.

It is thus apparent that for any real ξ ,

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \cos x\xi}{x^2} dx = |\xi| \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, applying the above with $\xi = \sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k(t)$ we get

$$I_{n}(|x|) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} r_{k}(t) \right| \frac{\pi}{2} dt$$

$$= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \cos\left(x \sum_{k=1}^{n} r_{k}(t)\right)}{x^{2}} dx dt$$

$$= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} 1 - \cos\left(x \sum_{k=1}^{n} r_{k}(t)\right) dt dx$$

$$= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^{2}} \left(1 - I_{n}(\cos x \cdot)\right) dx.$$

Applying (7) with $c_k = x$ for each k, this is equal to

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x^2} \left(1 - \prod_{k=1}^n \cos x \right) dx = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \cos^n x}{x^2} dx,$$

completing the proof.

We use the above formula for $I_n(|x|)$ to obtain an asymptotic formula for $I_n(|x|)$.⁵

Theorem 7.

$$I_n(|x|) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sqrt{n}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 6,

$$I_n(|x|) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \cos^n x}{x^2} dx.$$

For $0 \le \epsilon < 1$, define $\phi_{\epsilon} : [0, \frac{\pi}{2}) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\phi_{\epsilon}(x) = \frac{x^2}{2(1-\epsilon)} + \log \cos x.$$

We also define

$$\alpha_{\epsilon} = \arccos\sqrt{1-\epsilon}, \qquad \beta_{\epsilon} = \int_{\alpha_{\epsilon}}^{\infty} \frac{1-\cos^{n}x}{x^{2}} dx,$$

⁵Mark Kac, Statistical Independence in Probability, Analysis and Number Theory, p. 12, Masayoshi Hata, Problems and Solutions in Real Analysis, p. 188, Solution 13.6.

and for $\sigma > 0$,

$$K_{\epsilon,\sigma} = \int_0^{\alpha_{\epsilon}} \frac{1 - \exp\left(-\frac{nx^2}{\sigma}\right)}{x^2} dx.$$

Let $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Until the end of the proof, at which point we take $\epsilon \to 0$, we shall keep ϵ fixed. For $0 < x < \alpha_{\epsilon}$ we have, using $\arccos \sqrt{1 - \epsilon} \le \sqrt{\epsilon}$,

$$\phi_0(x) = \frac{x^2}{2} + \log \cos x < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \log \sqrt{1 - \epsilon} = \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \log(1 - \epsilon) < 0,$$

hence

$$\cos x < \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right).$$

On the other hand,

$$\phi'_{\epsilon}(x) = \frac{x}{1 - \epsilon} - \tan x, \qquad \phi''_{\epsilon}(x) = \frac{1}{1 - \epsilon} - \sec^2 x,$$

so $\phi_{\epsilon}(0) = \phi'_{\epsilon}(0) = 0$ and $\phi''_{\epsilon}(t) > 0$ for all $0 \le t < \alpha_{\epsilon}$, giving

$$\phi_{\epsilon}(x) > 0$$
,

and hence

$$\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2(1-\epsilon)}\right) < \cos x.$$

Collecting what we have established so far, for $0 < x < \alpha_{\epsilon}$ we have

$$\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2(1-\epsilon)}\right) < \cos x < \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right).$$

This shows that

$$K_{\epsilon,2(1-\epsilon)} = \int_0^{\alpha_\epsilon} \frac{1 - \exp\left(-\frac{nx^2}{2(1-\epsilon)}\right)}{x^2} dx \ge \int_0^{\alpha_\epsilon} \frac{1 - \cos^n x}{x^2} dx,$$

and therefore

$$K_{\epsilon,2(1-\epsilon)} + \beta_{\epsilon} \ge \frac{\pi}{2} I_n(|x|).$$

On the other hand,

$$K_{\epsilon,2} = \int_0^{\alpha_{\epsilon}} \frac{1 - \exp\left(-\frac{nx^2}{2}\right)}{x^2} dx \le \int_0^{\alpha_{\epsilon}} \frac{1 - \cos^n x}{x^2} dx,$$

so

$$K_{\epsilon,2} + \beta_{\epsilon} \le \frac{\pi}{2} I_n(|x|).$$

Now summarizing what we have obtained, we have

$$K_{\epsilon,2} + \beta_{\epsilon} \le \frac{\pi}{2} I_n(|x|) \le K_{\epsilon,2(1-\epsilon)} + \beta_{\epsilon}.$$
 (8)

For $\sigma > 0$, doing the change of variable $t = \sqrt{\frac{n}{\sigma}}x$,

$$K_{\epsilon,\sigma} = \int_0^{\alpha_\epsilon} \frac{1 - \exp\left(-\frac{nx^2}{\sigma}\right)}{x^2} dx = \sqrt{\frac{n}{\sigma}} \int_0^{\sqrt{\frac{n}{\sigma}}\alpha_\epsilon} \frac{1 - e^{-t^2}}{t^2} dt.$$

As $n \to \infty$, the right-hand side of this is asymptotic to

$$\sqrt{\frac{n}{\sigma}} \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - e^{-t^2}}{t^2} dt = \sqrt{\frac{n}{\sigma}} \sqrt{\pi}.$$

Dividing (8) by \sqrt{n} and taking the limsup then gives

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{I_n(|x|)}{\sqrt{n}} \le \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2(1 - \epsilon)}},$$

or

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{I_n(|x|)}{\sqrt{n}} \le \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi(1 - \epsilon)}};$$

indeed β_{ϵ} depends on n, but $\beta_{\epsilon} < \frac{2}{\alpha_{\epsilon}}$, which does not depend on n. Taking $\epsilon \to 0$ yields

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{I_n(|x|)}{\sqrt{n}} \le \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}.$$

On the other hand, taking the liminf of (8) divided by \sqrt{n} gives

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{I_n(|x|)}{\sqrt{n}} \ge \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}},$$

or

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{I_n(|x|)}{\sqrt{n}} \ge \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}.$$

Combining the limsup and the liminf inequalities proves the claim.

Lemma 8. For any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$I_n(e^{\xi|x|}) < I_n(e^{\xi x}) + I_n(e^{-\xi x}) = 2(\cosh \xi)^n.$$

We will use the following theorem to establish an estimate similar to but weaker than the law of the iterated logarithm. 6

Theorem 9. For any $\epsilon > 0$, for almost all $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2+\epsilon}} \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{2\log n}{n}} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k(t) \right| \right) dt < \infty.$$

 $^{^6{\}rm Masayoshi}$ Hata, Problems and Solutions in Real Analysis, p. 189, Solution 13.7.

Proof. Define $f_n:[0,1]\to(0,\infty)$ by

$$f_n(t) = \frac{1}{n^{2+\epsilon}} \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{2\log n}{n}} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n r_k(t) \right| \right).$$

Applying Lemma 8 with $\xi = \sqrt{\frac{2 \log n}{n}}$,

$$\int_0^1 f_n(t)dt \le \frac{1}{n^{2+\epsilon}} \cdot 2 \cdot \left(\cosh\sqrt{\frac{2\log n}{n}}\right)^n.$$

It is not obvious, but we take as given the asymptotic expansion

$$\left(\cosh\sqrt{\frac{2\log n}{n}}\right)^n = n - \frac{1}{3}(\log n)^2 + \frac{\frac{8}{45}(\log n)^3 + \frac{1}{18}(\log n)^4}{n} + O(n^{-3/2}),$$

and using this,

$$\frac{1}{n^{2+\epsilon}} \cdot 2 \cdot \left(\cosh\sqrt{\frac{2\log n}{n}}\right)^n = \frac{2}{n^{1+\epsilon}} + O\left(\frac{(\log n)^2}{n^{2+\epsilon}}\right) = \frac{2}{n^{1+\epsilon}} + O(n^{-2}).$$

Thus

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^1 f_n(t) dt = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{n^{1+\epsilon}} + O(n^{-2}) \right) < \infty.$$

Because each f_n is nonnegative, using this with the **monotone convergence** theorem gives the claim.

Theorem 10. For almost all $t \in [0, 1]$

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k(t) \right|}{\sqrt{n \log n}} \le \sqrt{2}.$$

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$. By Theorem 9, for almost all $t \in [0, 1]$ there is some n_t such that $n \ge n_t$ implies that $f_n(t) < 1$, where we are talking about the functions f_n defined in the proof of that theorem; certainly the terms of a convergent series are eventually less than 1. That is, for almost all $t \in [0, 1]$ there is some n_t such that $n \ge n_t$ implies that (taking logarithms),

$$(-2 - \epsilon) \log n + \sqrt{\frac{2 \log n}{n}} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k(t) \right| < 0,$$

and rearranging,

$$\frac{\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k(t)\right|}{\sqrt{n \log n}} < \sqrt{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}} = \sqrt{2} + \epsilon'.$$

For each $s \in \mathbb{N}$, let E_s be those $t \in [0,1]$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k(t) \right|}{\sqrt{n \log n}} > \sqrt{2} + \frac{1}{s}.$$

For each s, taking $0 < \epsilon' < \frac{1}{s}$ we get that almost all $t \in [0,1]$ do not belong to E_s . That is, for each s, the set E_s has measure 0. Therefore

$$E = \bigcup_{s=1}^{\infty} E_s$$

has measure 0. That is, for almost all $t \in [0,1]$, for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $t \notin E_s$, i.e.

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k(t) \right|}{\sqrt{n \log n}} \le \sqrt{2} + \frac{1}{s},$$

and this holding for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$ yields

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k(t) \right|}{\sqrt{n \log n}} \le \sqrt{2},$$

completing the proof.

3 Hypercubes

Let m_n be Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n , and let $Q_n = [0, 1]^{n.7}$

Theorem 11. If $f \in C([0,1])$, then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{Q_n} f\left(\frac{x_1+\cdots+x_n}{n}\right) dm_n(x) = f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right).$$

Proof. Define $X_n: Q_n \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$X_n = \frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n}{n}, \qquad x \in Q_n.$$

We have

$$\int_{Q_n} X_n dm_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^1 x_k \cdot 1 dx_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2},$$

⁷Masayoshi Hata, *Problems and Solutions in Real Analysis*, p. 161, Solution 11.1.

and we define

$$V_{n} = \int_{Q_{n}} \left(X_{n} - \frac{1}{2} \right)^{2} dm_{n}(x)$$

$$= \int_{Q_{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{x_{k}^{2}}{n^{2}} + \sum_{j \neq k} \frac{x_{j}x_{k}}{n^{2}} - X_{n} + \frac{1}{4} dm_{n}(x)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{1} x_{k}^{2} dx_{k} + \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k \neq j} \int_{0}^{1} x_{j} dx_{j} \int_{0}^{1} x_{k} dx_{k} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4}$$

$$= \frac{1}{3n} + \frac{n-1}{4n} - \frac{1}{4}$$

$$= \frac{n^{-1}}{12}.$$

Suppose that c_n is a sequence of positive real numbers tending to 0, and define $J_n = J_n(c)$ to be those $x \in Q_n$ such that

$$\left| X_n(x) - \frac{1}{2} \right| \ge c_n.$$

Then

$$V_n = \int_{Q_n} \left(X_n - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 dm_n(x)$$

$$\geq \int_{J_n} \left(X_n - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 dm_n(x)$$

$$\geq \int_{J_n} c_n^2 dm_n(x)$$

$$= c_n^2 m_n(J_n),$$

so

$$m_n(J_n) \le \frac{V_n}{c_n^2} = \frac{n^{-1}}{12c_n^2}.$$

Take $c_n = n^{-1/3}$, giving

$$m_n(J_n) \le \frac{n^{-1/3}}{12}.$$

Let $\epsilon>0$. Because f is continuous, there is some $\delta>0$ such that $|t-\frac{1}{2}|<\delta$ implies that $|f(t)-f(\frac{1}{2})|<\epsilon$; furthermore, we take δ such that

$$\frac{\|f\|_{\infty}\,\delta}{6} < \epsilon.$$

Set $N > \delta^{-3}$. For $n \ge N$ and $x \in Q_n \setminus J_n$,

$$\left| X_n(x) - \frac{1}{2} \right| < c_n = n^{-1/3} \le N^{-1/3} < \delta,$$

and so

$$\left| (f(X_n(x)) - f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right| < \epsilon.$$

This gives us

$$\left| \int_{Q_n} f(X_n(x)) dm_n(x) - f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right| = \left| \int_{Q_n} f(X_n(x)) - f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) dm_n(x) \right|$$

$$\leq \int_{J_n} \left| f(X_n(x)) - f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right| dm_n(x)$$

$$+ \int_{Q_n \setminus J_n} \left| f(X_n(x)) - f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right| dm_n(x)$$

$$\leq \int_{J_n} 2 \|f\|_{\infty} dm_n(x) + \int_{Q_n \setminus J_n} \epsilon dm_n(x)$$

$$\leq 2 \|f\|_{\infty} m_n(J_n) + \epsilon$$

$$\leq 2 \|f\|_{\infty} \frac{n^{-1/3}}{12} + \epsilon$$

$$< \frac{\|f\|_{\infty} \delta}{6} + \epsilon$$

$$< 2\epsilon,$$

which proves the claim.