## Polish spaces and Baire spaces

#### Jordan Bell

#### June 27, 2014

#### 1 Introduction

These notes consist of me working through those parts of the first chapter of Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, that I think are important in analysis. Denote by  $\mathbb{N}$  the set of positive integers. I do not talk about universal spaces like the Cantor space  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ , the Baire space  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , and the Hilbert cube  $[0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ , or "localization", or about Polish groups.

If  $(X, \tau)$  is a topological space, the **Borel**  $\sigma$ -algebra of X, denoted by  $\mathscr{B}_X$ , is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of X that contains  $\tau$ .  $\mathscr{B}_X$  contains  $\tau$ , and is closed under complements and countable unions, and rather than talking merely about **Borel sets** (elements of the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra), we can be more specific by talking about open sets, closed sets, and sets that are obtained by taking countable unions and complements.

#### **Definition 1.** An $F_{\sigma}$ set is a countable union of closed sets.

A  $G_{\delta}$  set is a complement of an  $F_{\sigma}$  set. Equivalently, it is a countable intersection of open sets.

If (X, d) is a metric space, the **topology induced by the metric** d is the topology generated by the collection of open balls. If  $(X, \tau)$  is a topological space, a metric d on the set X is said to be **compatible with**  $\tau$  if  $\tau$  is the topology induced by d. A **metrizable space** is a topological space whose topology is induced by some metric, and a **completely metrizable space** is a topological space whose topology is induced by some complete metric. One proves that being metrizable and being completely metrizable are topological properties, i.e., are preserved by homeomorphisms.

If X is a topological space, a **subspace of** X is a subset of X which is a topogical space with the subspace topology inherited from X. Because any topological space is a closed subset of itself, when we say that a **subspace is closed** we mean that it is a closed subset of its parent space, and similarly for open,  $F_{\sigma}$ ,  $G_{\delta}$ . A subspace of a compact Hausdorff space is compact if and only if it is closed; a subspace of a metrizable space is metrizable; and a subspace of a completely metrizable space is completely metrizable if and only if it is closed.

A topological space is said to be **separable** if it has a countable dense subset, and **second-countable** if it has a countable basis for its topology. It is straightforward to check that being second-countable implies being separable, but a separable topological space need not be second-countable. However, one checks that a separable metrizable space is second-countable. A subspace of a second-countable topological space is second-countable, and because a subspace of a metrizable space is metrizable, it follows that a subspace of a separable metrizable space is separable.

A **Polish space** is a separable completely metrizable space. My own interest in Polish spaces is because one can prove many things about Borel probability measures on a Polish space that one cannot prove for other types of topological spaces. Using the fact (the **Heine-Borel theorem**) that a compact metric space is complete and totally bounded, one proves that a compact metrizable space is Polish, but for many purposes we do not need a metrizable space to be compact, only Polish, and using compact spaces rather than Polish spaces excludes, for example,  $\mathbb{R}$ .

### 2 Separable Banach spaces

Let K denote either  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . If X and Y are Banach spaces over K, we denote by  $\mathscr{B}(X,Y)$  the set of bounded linear operators  $X \to Y$ . With the operator norm, this is a Banach space. We shall be interested in the **strong operator topology**, which is the initial topology on  $\mathscr{B}(X,Y)$  induced by the family  $\{T \mapsto Tx : x \in X\}$ . One proves that the strong operator topology on  $\mathscr{B}(X,Y)$ is induced by the family of seminorms  $\{T \mapsto ||Tx|| : x \in X\}$ , and because this is a separating family of seminorms,  $\mathscr{B}(X,Y)$  with the strong operator topology is a **locally convex space**. A basis of convex sets for the strong operator topology consists of those sets of the form

$$\{S \in \mathscr{B}(X,Y) : \|Sx_1 - T_1x_1\| < \epsilon, \dots, \|Sx_n - T_nx_n\| < \epsilon\},\$$

for  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$ ,  $\epsilon > 0, T_1, \ldots, T_n \in \mathscr{B}(X, Y)$ .

We prove conditions under which the closed unit ball in  $\mathscr{B}(X,Y)$  with the strong operator topology is Polish.<sup>1</sup>

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that X and Y are separable Banach spaces. Then the closed unit ball

$$B_1 = \{ T \in \mathscr{B}(X, Y) : ||T|| \le 1 \}$$

with the subspace topology inherited from  $\mathscr{B}(X,Y)$  with the strong operator topology is Polish.

*Proof.* Let E be  $\mathbb{Q}$  or  $\{a+ib: a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ , depending on whether K is  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ , let  $D_0$  be a countable dense subset of X, and let D be the span of  $D_0$  over K. D is countable and Y is Polish, so the product  $Y^D$  is Polish. Define  $\Phi: B_1 \to Y^D$  by  $\Phi(T) = T \circ \iota$ , where  $\iota: D \to X$  is the inclusion map. If  $\Phi(S) = \Phi(T)$ , then because D is dense in X and  $S, T: X \to Y$  are continuous, X = Y,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, p. 14.

showing that  $\Phi$  is one-to-one. We check that  $\Phi(B_1)$  consists of those  $f \in Y^D$ such that both (i) if  $x, y \in D$  and  $a, b \in E$  then f(ax + by) = af(x) + bf(y), and (ii) if  $x \in D$  then  $||f(x)|| \leq ||x||$ . One proves that  $\Phi(B_1)$  is a closed subset of  $Y^D$ , and because  $Y^D$  is Polish this implies that  $\Phi(B_1)$  with the subspace topology inherited from  $Y^D$  is Polish. Then one proves that  $\Phi: B_1 \to \Phi(B_1)$  is a homeomorphism, where  $B_1$  has the subspace topology inherited from  $\mathscr{B}(X, Y)$ with the strong operator topology, which tells us that  $B_1$  is Polish.  $\Box$ 

If X is a Banach space over K, where K is  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ , we write  $X^* = \mathscr{B}(X, K)$ . The strong operator topology on  $\mathscr{B}(X, K)$  is called the **weak-\*** topology on  $X^*$ . **Keller's theorem**<sup>2</sup> states that if X is a separable infinite-dimensional Banach space, then the closed unit ball in  $X^*$  with the subspace topology inherited from  $X^*$  with the weak-\* topology is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube  $[0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

### 3 G-delta sets

If (X, d) is a metric space and A is a subset of X, we define

$$\operatorname{diam}(A) = \sup\{d(x, y) : x, y \in A\},\$$

with diam( $\emptyset$ ) = 0, and if  $x \in X$  we define

$$d(x, A) = \inf\{d(x, y) : y \in A\},\$$

with  $d(x, \emptyset) = \infty$ . We also define

$$B_d(A,\epsilon) = \{x \in X : d(x,A) < \epsilon\}.$$

If X and Y are topological spaces and  $f: X \to Y$  is a function, the **set of continuity** of f is the set of all points in X at which f is continuous. To say that f is continuous is equivalent to saying that its set of continuity is X.

If X is a topological space, (Y, d) is a metric space,  $A \subset X$ , and  $f : A \to Y$  is a function, for  $x \in X$  we define the **oscillation of** f at x as

 $\operatorname{osc}_f(x) = \inf\{\operatorname{diam}(f(U \cap A)) : U \text{ is an open neighborhood of } x\}.$ 

To say that  $f: A \to Y$  is continuous at  $x \in A$  means that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is some open neighborhood U of x such that  $y \in U \cap A$  implies that  $d(f(y), f(x)) < \epsilon$ , and this implies that  $diam(f(U \cap A)) \leq 2\epsilon$ . Hence if f is continuous at xthen  $\operatorname{osc}_f(x) = 0$ . On the other hand, suppose that  $\operatorname{osc}_f(x) = 0$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . There is then some open neighborhood U of x such that  $diam(f(U \cap A)) < \epsilon$ , and this implies that  $d(f(y), f(x)) < \epsilon$  for every  $y \in U \cap A$ , showing that f is continuous at x. Therefore, the set of continuity of  $f: A \to Y$  is

$$\{x \in A : \operatorname{osc}_f(x) = 0\}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, p. 64, Theorem 9.19.

As well, if  $x \in X \setminus \overline{A} = \overline{A}^c$ , then  $\overline{A}^c$  is an open neighborhood of x and  $f(\overline{A}^c \cap A) = f(\emptyset) = \emptyset$  and diam $(\emptyset) = 0$ , so in this case  $\operatorname{osc}_f(x) = 0$ .

The following theorem shows that the set of points where a function taking values in a metrizable space has zero oscillation is a  $G_{\delta}$  set.<sup>3</sup>

**Theorem 3.** Suppose that X is a topological space, Y is a metrizable space,  $A \subset X$ , and  $f : A \to Y$  is a function. Then  $\{x \in X : \operatorname{osc}_f(x) = 0\}$  is a  $G_{\delta}$  set.

*Proof.* Let d be a metric on Y that induces its topology and let  $A_{\epsilon} = \{x \in X : \operatorname{osc}_{f}(x) < \epsilon\}$ . For  $x \in A_{\epsilon}$ , there is an open neighborhood U of x such that  $\operatorname{osc}_{f}(x) \leq \operatorname{diam}(f(U \cap A)) < \epsilon$ . But if  $y \in U$  then U is an open neighborhood of y and  $\operatorname{diam}(f(U \cap A)) < \epsilon$ , so  $\operatorname{osc}_{f}(y) < \epsilon$  and hence  $y \in A_{\epsilon}$ , showing that  $A_{\epsilon}$  is open. Finally,

$$\{x \in X : \operatorname{osc}_f(x) = 0\} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_{1/n},$$

which is a  $G_{\delta}$  set, completing the proof.

In a metrizable space, the only closed sets that are open are  $\emptyset$  and the space itself, but we can show that any closed set is a countable intersection of open sets.<sup>4</sup>

**Theorem 4.** If X is a metrizable space, then any closed subset of X is a  $G_{\delta}$  set.

*Proof.* Let d be a metric on X that induces its topology. Suppose that A is a nonempty subset of X and that  $x, y \in X$ . We have  $d(x, A) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, A)$  and  $d(y, A) \leq d(y, x) + d(x, A)$ , so

$$|d(x,A) - d(y,A)| \le d(x,y).$$

It follows that  $B_d(A, \epsilon)$  is open. But if F is a closed subset of X then check that

$$F = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_d(F, 1/n),$$

which is an  $F_{\sigma}$  set, completing the proof. (If we did not know that F was closed then F would be contained in this intersection, but need not be equal to it.)  $\Box$ 

Kechris attributes the following theorem<sup>5</sup> to Kuratowski. It and the following theorem are about extending continuous functions from a set to a  $G_{\delta}$  set that contains it, and we will use the following theorem in the proof of Theorem 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, p. 15, Proposition 3.6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, p. 15, Proposition 3.7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, p. 16, Theorem 3.8.

**Theorem 5.** Suppose that X is metrizable, Y is completely metrizable, A is a subspace of X, and  $f : A \to Y$  is continuous. Then there is a  $G_{\delta}$  set G in X such that  $A \subset G \subset \overline{A}$  and a continuous function  $g : G \to Y$  whose restriction to A is equal to f.

*Proof.* Let  $G = \overline{A} \cap \{x \in X : \operatorname{osc}_f(x) = 0\}$ . Theorem 4 tells us that the first set is  $G_{\delta}$  and Theorem 3 tells us that the second set is  $G_{\delta}$ , so G is  $G_{\delta}$ . Because  $f : A \to Y$  is continuous,  $A \subset \{x \in X : \operatorname{osc}_f(x) = 0\}$ , and hence  $A \subset G$ .

Let  $x \in G \subset \overline{A}$ , and let  $x_n, t_n \in A$  with  $x_n \to x$  and  $t_n \to x$ . Because  $\operatorname{osc}_f(x) = 0$ , for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is some open neighborhood U of xsuch that  $\operatorname{diam}(f(U \cap A)) < \epsilon$ . But then there is some n such that  $k \geq n$ implies that  $x_k, t_k \in U$ , and thus  $\operatorname{diam}(f(\{x_k, t_k : k \geq n\})) < \epsilon$ . Hence  $\operatorname{diam}(f(\{x_k, t_k : k \geq n\})) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , and this is equivalent to the sequence  $f(x_1), f(t_1), f(x_2), f(t_2), \ldots$  being Cauchy. Because Y is completely metrizable this sequence converges to some  $y \in Y$  and therefore the subsequence  $f(x_n)$ and the subsequence  $f(t_n)$  both converge to y. Thus it makes sense to define  $g: G \to Y$  by

$$g(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(x_n)$$

and the restriction of g to A is equal to f. It remains to prove that g is continuous.

If U is an open subset of X, then  $g(U \cap G) \subset \overline{f(U \cap A)}$ , hence

$$\operatorname{diam}(g(U \cap G)) \leq \operatorname{diam}(\overline{f(U \cap A)}) = \operatorname{diam}(f(U \cap A)).$$

For any  $x \in G$  this and  $\operatorname{osc}_f(x) = 0$  yield

$$\operatorname{osc}_g(x) \le \operatorname{osc}_f(x) = 0,$$

showing that the set of continuity of g is G, i.e. that g is continuous.

The following shows that a homeomorphism between subsets of metrizable spaces can be extended to a homeomorphism of  $G_{\delta}$  sets.<sup>6</sup>

**Theorem 6** (Lavrentiev's theorem). Suppose that X and Y are completely metrizable spaces, that A is a subspace of X, and that B is a subspace of Y. If  $f: A \to B$  is a homeomorphism, then there are  $G_{\delta}$  sets  $G \supset A$  and  $H \supset B$  and a homeomorphism  $G \to H$  whose restriction to A is equal to f.

*Proof.* Theorem 5 tells us that there is a  $G_{\delta}$  set  $G_1 \supset A$  and a continuous function  $g_1: G_1 \to Y$  whose restriction to A is equal to f, and there is a  $G_{\delta}$  set  $H_1 \supset B$  and a continuous function  $h_1: H_1 \to X$  whose restriction to B is equal to  $f^{-1}$ . Let

$$R = \{(x, y) \in G_1 \times Y : y = g_1(x)\}, \qquad S = \{(x, y) \in X \times H_1 : x = h_1(y)\}.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, p. 16, Theorem 3.9.

Because  $g_1: G_1 \to Y$  is continuous, R is a closed subset of  $X \times Y$ , and because  $h_1: H_1 \to X$  is continuous, S is a closed subset of  $X \times Y$ . Let

$$G = \pi_X(R \cap S), \qquad H = \pi_Y(R \cap S),$$

where  $\pi_X : X \times Y \to X$  and  $\pi_Y : X \times Y \to Y$  are the projection maps. If  $x \in A$  then  $h_1(g_1(x)) = f^{-1}(f(x)) = x$ , and hence  $x \in G$ , and if  $y \in B$  then  $g_1(h_1(y)) = f(f^{-1}(y)) = y$ , and hence  $y \in H$ , so we have

$$A \subset G \subset G_1, \qquad B \subset H \subset H_1.$$

The map  $E_1 : G_1 \to X \times Y$  defined by  $E_1(x) = (x, g_1(x))$  is continuous because  $g_1 : G_1 \to Y$  is continuous, and hence

$$E_1^{-1}(S) = \{x \in G_1 : x = h_1(g_1(x))\} = G$$

is a closed subset of  $G_1$ , and thus by Theorem 4 is a  $G_{\delta}$  set in  $G_1$ . But  $G_1$  is a  $G_{\delta}$  subset of X, so G is a  $G_{\delta}$  set in X also. Define  $E_2 : H_1 \to X \times Y$  by  $E_2(y) = (h_1(y), y)$ , which is continuous because  $h_1$  is continuous. Then

$$E_2^{-1}(R) = \{ y \in H_1 : y = g_1(h_1(y)) \} = H$$

is a closed subset of  $H_1$ , and hence is  $G_{\delta}$  in  $H_1$ . But  $H_1$  is a  $G_{\delta}$  subset of Y, so  $H_1$  is a  $G_{\delta}$  set in Y also.

Check that the restriction of  $g_1$  to  $G_1$  is a homeomorphism  $G_1 \to H_1$  whose restriction to A is equal to f, completing the proof.

If a topological space has some property and Y is a subset of X, one wants to know conditions under which Y with the subspace topology inherited from X has the same property. For example, a subspace of a compact Hausdorff space is compact if and only if it is closed, and a subspace of a completely metrizable space is completely metrizable if and only if it is closed. The following theorem shows in particular that a subspace of a Polish space is Polish if and only if it is  $G_{\delta}$ .<sup>7</sup> (The statement of the theorem is about completely metrizable spaces and we obtain the conclusion about Polish spaces because any subspace of a separable metrizable space is itself separable.)

**Theorem 7.** Suppose that X is a metrizable space and Y is a subset of X with the subspace topology. If Y is completely metrizable then Y is a  $G_{\delta}$  set in X. If X is completely metrizable and Y is a  $G_{\delta}$  set in X then Y is completely metrizable.

*Proof.* Suppose that Y is completely metrizable. The map  $\operatorname{id}_Y : Y \to Y$  is continuous, so Theorem 5 tells us that there is a  $G_{\delta}$  set  $Y \subset G \subset \overline{Y}$  and a continuous function  $g : G \to Y$  whose restriction to Y is equal to  $\operatorname{id}_Y$ . For  $x \in G \subset \overline{Y}$ , there are  $y_n \in Y$  with  $y_n \to x$ , and because g is continuous we get  $\operatorname{id}_Y(y_n) = g(y_n) \to g(x)$ , i.e.  $y_n \to g(x)$ , hence g(x) = x. But  $g : G \to Y$  so  $x \in Y$ , showing that G = Y and hence that Y is a  $G_{\delta}$  set.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, p. 17, Theorem 3.11.

Suppose that X is completely metrizable and that Y is a  $G_{\delta}$  subset of X, and let d be a complete metric on X that is compatible with the topology of X; if we restrict this metric to Y then it is a metric on Y that is compatible with the subspace topology on Y inherited from X, but it need not be a complete metric. Let  $U_n$  be open sets in X with  $Y = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$ , let  $F_n = X \setminus U_n$ , and for  $x, y \in Y$  define

$$d_1(x,y) = d(x,y) + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \min\left\{2^{-n}, \left|\frac{1}{d(x,F_n)} - \frac{1}{d(y,F_n)}\right|\right\}.$$

One proves that  $d_1$  is a metric on Y and that it is compatible with the subspace topology on Y. Suppose that  $y_n \in Y$  is Cauchy in  $(Y, d_1)$ . Because  $d \leq d_1$ , this is also a Cauchy sequence in (X, d), and because (X, d) is complete, there is some  $y \in X$  such that  $y_n \to y$  in (X, d). Then one proves that  $y_n \to y$  in  $(Y, d_1)$ , from which we have that  $(Y, d_1)$  is a complete metric space.

## 4 Continuous functions on a compact space

If X and Y are topological spaces, we denote by C(X, Y) the set of continuous functions  $X \to Y$ . If X is a compact topological space and  $(Y, \rho)$  is a metric space, we define

$$d_{\rho}(f,g) = \sup_{x \in X} \rho(f(x),g(x)), \qquad f,g \in C(X,Y),$$

which is a metric on C(X, Y), which we call the  $\rho$ -supremum metric. One proves that  $d_{\rho}$  is a complete metric on C(X, Y) if and only if  $\rho$  is a complete metric on Y.<sup>8</sup> It follows that if Y is a Banach space then so is C(X, Y) with the supremum norm  $||f||_{\infty} = \sup_{x \in X} ||f(x)||_{Y}$ .

Suppose that X is a compact topological space and that Y is a metrizable space. If  $\rho_1, \rho_2$  are metrics on Y that induce its topology, then  $d_{\rho_1}, d_{\rho_2}$  are metrics on C(X, Y), and it can be proved that they induce the same topology,<sup>9</sup> which we call the **topology of uniform convergence**.

Finally, if X is a compact metrizable space and Y is a separable metrizable space, it can be proved that C(X,Y) is separable.<sup>10</sup>

Thus, using what we have stated above, suppose that X is a compact metrizable space and that Y is a Polish space. Because X is a compact metrizable space and Y is a separable metrizable space, C(X, Y) is separable. Because X is a compact topological space and Y is a completely metrizable space, C(X, Y)is completely metrizable, and hence Polish.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Charalambos D. Aliprantis and Kim C. Border, *Infinite Dimensional Analysis: A Hitch-hiker's Guide*, third ed., p. 124, Lemma 3.97.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Charalambos D. Aliprantis and Kim C. Border, *Infinite Dimensional Analysis: A Hitchhiker's Guide*, third ed., p. 124, Lemma 3.98.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>Charalambos D. Aliprantis and Kim C. Border, *Infinite Dimensional Analysis: A Hitchhiker's Guide*, third ed., p. 125, Lemma 3.99.

# 5 C([0,1])

 $C^1(\mathbb{R})$  consists of those functions  $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that for each  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , there is some  $F'(x_0) \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$F'(x_0) = \lim_{x \to x_0} \frac{F(x) - F(x_0)}{x - x_0}$$

and such that this function F' belongs to  $C(\mathbb{R})$ . We define  $C^1([0,1])$  to be those functions  $[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  that are the restriction to [0,1] of some element of  $C^1(\mathbb{R})$ . We shall prove that  $C^1([0,1])$  is an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  set in C([0,1]).<sup>11</sup>

Suppose that  $f \in C^1([0,1])$ . For each  $x \in [0,1]$ ,

#### 6 Meager sets and Baire spaces

Let X be a topological space. A subset A of X is called **nowhere dense** if the interior of  $\overline{A}$  is  $\emptyset$ . A subset A of X is called **meager** if it is a countable union of nowhere dense sets. A meager set is also said to be **of first category**, and a nonmeager is said to be **of second category**. Meager is a good name for at least two reasons: it is descriptive and the word is not already used to name anything else. First category and second category are bad names for at least four reasons: the words describe nothing, they are phrases rather than single words, they suggests an ordering, and they conflict with reserving the word "category" for category theory. A complement of a meager is said to be **comeager**.

If X is a set, an **ideal on** X is a collection of subsets of X that includes  $\emptyset$  and is closed under subsets and finite unions. A  $\sigma$ -ideal on X is an ideal that is closed under countable unions.

**Lemma 8.** The collection of meager subsets of a topological space is a  $\sigma$ -ideal.

If X is a topological space and  $x \in X$ , we say that x is **isolated** if  $\{x\}$  is open. We say X is **perfect** if it has no isolated points, and a  $T_1$  **space** if  $\{x\}$  is closed for each  $x \in X$ . Suppose that X is a perfect  $T_1$  space and let A be a countable subset of X. For each  $x \in A$ , because X is  $T_1$ , the closure of  $\{x\}$  is  $\{x\}$ , and because X is perfect, the interior of  $\{x\}$  is  $\emptyset$ , and hence  $\{x\}$  is nowhere dense.  $A = \bigcup_{x \in A} \{x\}$  is a countable union of nowhere dense sets, hence is meager. Thus we have proved that any countable subset of a perfect  $T_1$  space is meager.

Suppose that X is a topological space. If every comeager set in X is dense, we say that X is a **Baire space**.

**Lemma 9.** A topological space is a Baire space if and only if the intersection of any countable family of dense open sets is dense.

We prove that open subsets of Baire spaces are Baire spaces.<sup>12</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, p. 70.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>Alexander S. Kechris, Classical Descriptive Set Theory, p. 41, Proposition 8.3.

**Theorem 10.** If X is a Baire space and U is an open subspace of X, then U is a Baire space.

*Proof.* Because U is open, an open subset of U is an open subset of X that is contained in U. Suppose that  $U_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are dense open subsets of U. So they are each open subsets of X, and  $U_n \cup (X \setminus \overline{U})$  is a dense open subset of X for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then because X is a Baire space,

$$\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} (U_n\cup (X\setminus\overline{U})) = \left(\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} U_n\right)\cup (X\setminus\overline{U})$$

is dense in X. It follows that  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$  is dense in U, showing that U is a Baire space.

The following is the **Baire category theorem**.<sup>13</sup>

**Theorem 11** (Baire category theorem). Every completely metrizable space is a Baire space. Every locally compact Hausdorff space is a Baire space.

*Proof.* Let X be a completely metrizable space and let d be a complete metric on X compatible with the topology. Suppose that  $U_n$  are dense open subsets of X. To show that  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$  is dense it suffices to show that for any nonempty open subset U of X,

$$\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} (U_n\cap U) = U\cap \bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} U_n \neq \emptyset$$

Because U is a nonempty open set it contains an open ball  $B_1$  of radius < 1 with  $\overline{B_1} \subset U$ . Since  $U_1$  is dense and  $B_1$  is open,  $B_1 \cap U_1 \neq \emptyset$  and is open because both  $B_1$  and  $U_1$  are open. As  $B_1 \cap U_1$  is a nonempty open set it contains an open ball  $B_2$  of radius  $< \frac{1}{2}$  with  $\overline{B_2} \subset B_1 \cap U_1$ . Suppose that n > 1 and that  $B_n$  is an open ball of radius  $< \frac{1}{n}$  with  $\overline{B_n} \subset B_{n-1} \cap U_{n-1}$ . Since  $U_n$  is dense and  $B_n$  is open,  $B_n \cap U_n \neq \emptyset$  and is open because both  $B_n$  and  $U_n$  are open. As  $B_n \cap U_n \neq \emptyset$  and is open because both  $B_n$  and  $U_n$  are open. As  $B_n \cap U_n$  is a nonempty open set it contains an open ball  $B_{n+1}$  of radius  $< \frac{1}{n+1}$  with  $\overline{B_{n+1}} \subset B_n \cap U_n$ . Then, we have  $B_{n+1} \subset B_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Letting  $x_i$  be the center of  $B_i$ , we have  $d(x_j, x_i) < \frac{1}{i}$  for j > i, and hence  $x_i$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since (X, d) is a complete metric space, there is some  $x \in X$  such that  $x_i \to x$ . For any m there is some  $i_0$  such that  $i \ge i_0$  implies that  $d(x_i, x) < \frac{1}{m}$ , and hence  $x \in B_m = \bigcap_{n=1}^m B_n$ . Therefore

$$x \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n \subset \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (U_n \cap U),$$

which shows that  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$  is dense and hence that X is a Baire space.

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Suppose that  $U_n$  are dense open subsets of X and that U is a nonempty open set. Let  $x_1 \in U$ , and because

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, p. 41, Theorem 8.4.

X is a locally compact Hausdorff space there is an open neighborhood  $V_1$  of  $x_1$  with  $\overline{V_1}$  compact and  $\overline{V_1} \subset U$ . Since  $U_1$  is dense and  $V_1$  is open, there is some  $x_2 \in V_1 \cap U_1$ . As  $V_1 \cap U_1$  is open, there is an open neighborhood  $V_2$  of  $x_2$  with  $\overline{V_2}$  compact and  $\overline{V_2} \subset V_1 \cap U_1$ . Thus,  $\overline{V_n}$  are compact and satisfy  $\overline{V_{n+1}} \subset \overline{V_n}$  for each n, and hence

$$\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\overline{V_n}\neq\emptyset$$

This intersection is contained in  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (U_n \cap U)$  which is therefore nonempty, showing that  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$  is dense and hence that X is a Baire space.

### 7 Nowhere differentiable functions

From what we said in §4, because [0, 1] is a compact metrizable space and  $\mathbb{R}$  is a Polish space,  $C([0, 1]) = C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  with the topology of uniform convergence is Polish. This topology is induced by the norm  $||f||_{\infty} = \sup_{x \in [0,1]} |f(x)|$ , with which C([0, 1]) is thus a separable Banach space.

For a function  $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  to be differentiable at a point  $x_0$  means that there is some  $F'(x_0) \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\lim_{x \to x_0} \frac{F(x) - F(x_0)}{x - x_0} = F'(x_0).$$

If  $f: [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  is a function and  $x_0 \in [0,1]$ , we say that f is **differentiable** at  $x_0$  if there is some function  $F: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  that is differentiable at  $x_0$  and whose restriction to [0,1] is equal to f, and we write  $f'(x_0) = F'(x_0)$ . The purpose of speaking in this way is to be precise about what we mean by f being differentiable at the endpoints of the interval [0,1].

If  $f: [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable at  $x_0 \in [0,1]$ , then there is some  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $0 < |x - x_0| < \delta$  and  $x \in [0,1]$ , then

$$\left|\frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} - f'(x_0)\right| < 1,$$

and hence

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| < (1 + |f'(x_0)|)|x - x_0|$$

On the other hand, if  $f \in C([0,1])$  then  $\{x \in [0,1] : |x - x_0| \ge \delta\}$  is a compact set on which  $x \mapsto \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0}$  is continuous, and hence the absolute value of this function is bounded by some M. Thus, if  $|x - x_0| \ge \delta$  and  $x \in [0,1]$ , then

$$\left|\frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0}\right| \le M_1$$

hence

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| \le M|x - x_0|.$$

Therefore, if  $f \in C([0,1])$  is differentiable at  $x_0 \in [0,1]$  then there is some positive integer N such that

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| \le N|x - x_0|, \qquad x \in [0, 1].$$

For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $E_N$  be those  $f \in C([0,1])$  for which there is some  $x_0 \in [0,1]$  such that

$$f(x) - f(x_0)| \le N|x - x_0|, \qquad x \in [0, 1].$$

We have established that if  $f \in C([0,1])$  and there is some  $x_0 \in [0,1]$  such that f is differentiable at  $x_0$ , then there is some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f \in E_N$ . Therefore, the set of those  $f \in C([0,1])$  that are differentiable at some point in [0,1] is contained in

$$\bigcup_{N\in\mathbb{N}}E_N,$$

and hence to prove that the set of  $f \in C([0, 1])$  that are nowhere differentiable is comeager in C([0, 1]), it suffices to prove that each  $E_N$  is nowhere dense. To show this we shall follow the proof in Stein and Shakarchi.<sup>14</sup>

**Lemma 12.** For each  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $E_N$  is a closed subset of the Banach space C([0,1]).

*Proof.* C([0, 1]) is a metric space, so to show that  $E_N$  is closed it suffices to prove that if  $f_n \in E_N$  is a sequence tending to  $f \in C([0, 1])$ , then  $f \in E_N$ . For each n, let  $x_n \in [0, 1]$  be such that

$$|f_n(x) - f_n(x_n)| \le N|x - x_n|, \qquad x \in [0, 1].$$

Because  $x_n$  is a sequence in the compact set [0, 1], it has subsequence  $x_{a(n)}$  that converges to some  $x_0 \in [0, 1]$ . For all  $x \in [0, 1]$  we have

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| \leq |f(x) - f_{a(n)}(x)| + |f_{a(n)}(x) - f_{a(n)}(x_0)| + |f_{a(n)}(x_0) - f(x_0)|.$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Because  $||f_n - f||_{\infty} \to 0$ , there is some  $n_0$  such that when  $n \ge n_0$ , the first and third terms on the right-hand side are each  $< \epsilon$ . For the second term on the right-hand side, we use

$$|f_{a(n)}(x) - f_{a(n)}(x_0)| \le |f_{a(n)}(x) - f_{a(n)}(x_{a(n)})| + |f_{a(n)}(x_{a(n)}) - f_{a(n)}(x_0)|.$$

But  $f_{a(n)} \in E_N$ , so this is  $\leq$ 

$$N|x - x_{a(n)}| + N|x_{a(n)} - x_0|.$$

Putting everything together, for  $n \ge n_0$  we have

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| < 2\epsilon + N|x - x_{a(n)}| + N|x_{a(n)} - x_0|.$$

 $<sup>^{14}\</sup>mathrm{Elias}$  M. Stein and Rami Shakarchi, Functional Analysis, p. 163, Theorem 1.5.

Because  $x_{a(n)} \to x_0$ , we get

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| \le 2\epsilon + N|x - x_0|.$$

But this is true for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , so

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| \le N|x - x_0|,$$

showing that  $f \in E_N$ .

For  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $P_M$  be the set of those  $f \in C([0, 1])$  that are piecewise linear and whose line segments have slopes with absolute value  $\geq M$ . If  $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$ , M > N, and  $f \in P_M$ , then for any  $x_0 \in [0, 1]$ , this  $x_0$  is the abscissa of a point on at least one line segment whose slope has absolute value  $\geq M$  (the point will be on two line segments when it is their common endpoint), and then there is another point on this line segment, with abscissa x, such that  $|f(x) - f(x_0)| \geq$  $M|x - x_0| > N|x - x_0|$ , and the fact that for every  $x_0 \in [0, 1]$  there is such  $x \in [0, 1]$  means that  $f \notin E_N$ . Therefore, if M > N then  $P_M \cap E_N = \emptyset$ .

**Lemma 13.** For each  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $P_M$  is dense in C([0, 1]).

*Proof.* Let  $f \in C([0,1])$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Because f is continuous on the compact set [0,1] it is uniformly continuous, so there is some positive integer n such that  $|x-y| \leq \frac{1}{n}$  implies that  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \epsilon$ . We define  $g:[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  to be linear on the intervals  $[\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}], k = 0, \ldots, n-1$  and to satisfy

$$g\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) = f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \qquad k = 0, \dots, n.$$

This nails down g, and for any  $x \in [0, 1]$  there is some  $k = 0, \ldots, n-1$  such that x lies in the interval  $\left[\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}\right]$ . But since g is linear on this interval and we know its values at the endpoints, for any y in this interval we have

$$g(y) = \frac{f\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right) - f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)}{\frac{k+1}{n} - \frac{k}{n}} y + f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - \frac{f\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right) - f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)}{\frac{k+1}{n} - \frac{k}{n}} \cdot \frac{k}{n}$$
$$= n\left(f\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right) - f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)\right) y + f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - k\left(f\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right) - f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)\right),$$

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$\begin{aligned} |g(x) - f(x)| &\leq |g(x) - g(k/n)| + |g(k/n) - f(k/n)| + |f(k/n) - f(x)| \\ &= |g(x) - f(k/n)| + |f(k/n) - f(x)| \\ &= n \left| \left( f\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right) - f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \right) \left(x - \frac{k}{n}\right) \right| + |f(k/n) - f(x)| \\ &\leq \left| f\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right) - f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \right| + |f(k/n) - f(x)| \\ &\leq 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This is true for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ , so

$$\|g - f\|_{\infty} \le 2\epsilon.$$

Now that we know that we can approximate any  $f \in C([0,1])$  with continuous piecewise linear functions, we shall show that we can approximate any continuous piecewise linear function with elements of  $P_M$ , from which it will follow that  $P_M$  is dense in C([0,1]). Let g be a continuous piecewise linear function. We can write g in the following way: there is some positive integer nand  $a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b_0, \ldots, b_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}$  such that g is linear on the intervals  $[\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}]$ ,  $k = 0, \ldots, n-1$ , and satisfies  $g(x) = a_k x + b_k$  for  $x \in [\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}]$ ; this can be satisfied precisely when  $a_k \frac{k+1}{n} + b_k = a_{k+1} \frac{k+1}{n} + b_{k+1}$  for each  $k = 0, \ldots, n-1$ . For  $\epsilon > 0$ , let

$$\phi_{\epsilon}(x) = g(x) + \epsilon, \qquad \psi_{\epsilon}(x) = g(x) - \epsilon, \qquad x \in [0, 1].$$

We shall define a function  $h: [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  by describing its graph. We start at (0,g(0)), and then the graph of h is a line segment of slope M until it intersects the graph of  $\phi_{\epsilon}$ , at which point the graph of h is a line segment of slope -M until it intersects the graph of  $\psi_{\epsilon}$ . We repeat this until we hit the point  $(\frac{1}{n}, h(\frac{1}{n}))$ ; we remark that it need not be the case that  $h(\frac{1}{n}) = g(\frac{1}{n})$ . If  $(\frac{1}{n}, h(\frac{1}{n}))$  lies on the graph of  $\phi_{\epsilon}$  then we start a line segment of slope -M, and if it lies on the graph of  $\psi_{\epsilon}$  then we start a line segment of slope M, and otherwise we continue the existing line segment until it intersects  $\phi_{\epsilon}$  or  $\psi_{\epsilon}$  and we repeat this until the point  $(\frac{2}{n}, h(\frac{2}{n}))$ , and then repeat this procedure. This constructs a function  $h \in P_M$  such that  $\|h - g\|_{\infty} \leq \epsilon$ . But for any  $f \in C([0,1])$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have shown that there is some continuous piecewise linear g such that  $\|g - f\|_{\infty} < \epsilon$ , and now we know that there is some  $h \in P_M$  such that  $\|h - g\|_{\infty} < \epsilon$ , so  $\|h - f\|_{\infty} < 2\epsilon$ , showing that  $P_M$  is dense in C([0,1]).

Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , suppose that  $f \in E_N$ , and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let M > N, and because  $P_M$  is dense in C([0, 1]), there is some  $h \in P_M$  such that  $||f - h||_{\infty} < \epsilon$ . But  $P_M \cap E_N = \emptyset$  because M > N, so  $h \notin E_N$ , showing that there is no open ball with center f that is contained in  $E_N$ , which shows that  $E_N$  has empty interior. But we have shown that  $E_N$  is closed, so the interior of the closure of  $E_N$  is empty, namely,  $E_N$  is nowhere dense, which completes the proof.

#### 8 The Baire property

Suppose that X is a topological space and that  $\mathscr{I}$  is the  $\sigma$ -ideal of meager sets in X. For  $A, B \subset X$ , write

$$A \bigtriangleup B = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A).$$

We write A = B if  $A \triangle B \in \mathscr{I}$ . One proves that if A = B then  $X \setminus A = X \setminus B$ , and that if  $A_n = B_n$  then  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$  and  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$ . A subset A of X is said to have the **Baire property** if there is an open set U such that  $A =^{*} U$ . (It is a common practice to talk about things that are equal to a thing that is somehow easy to work with modulo things that are considered small.) The following theorem characterizes the collection of subsets with the Baire property of a topological space.<sup>15</sup>

**Theorem 14.** Let X be a topological space and let  $\mathscr{B}$  be the collection of subsets of X with the Baire property. Then  $\mathscr{B}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on X, and is the algebra generated by all open sets and all meager sets.

*Proof.* If F is closed, then  $F \setminus \text{Int}(F)$  is closed and has empty interior, so is nowhere dense and therefore meager. Thus, if F is closed then F = \*Int(F).

 $\emptyset =^* \emptyset$  and  $\emptyset$  is open so  $\emptyset$  has the Baire property, and so belongs to  $\mathscr{B}$ . Suppose that  $B \in \mathscr{B}$ . This means that there is some open set U such that  $B =^* U$ , which implies that  $X \setminus B =^* X \setminus U$ . But  $X \setminus U$  is closed, hence  $X \setminus U =^* \operatorname{Int}(X \setminus U)$ , so  $X \setminus B =^* \operatorname{Int}(X \setminus U)$ . As  $\operatorname{Int}(X \setminus U)$  is open, this shows that  $X \setminus B$  has the Baire property, that is,  $X \setminus B \in \mathscr{B}$ .

Suppose that  $B_n \in \mathscr{B}$ . So there are open sets  $U_n$  such that  $B_n =^* U_n$ , and it follows that  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n =^* \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$ . The union on the right-hand side is open, so  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has the Baire property and thus belongs to  $\mathscr{B}$ . This shows that  $\mathscr{B}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

Suppose that  $\mathscr{A}$  is an algebra containing all open sets and all meager sets, and let  $B \in \mathscr{B}$ . Because B has the Baire property there is some open set U such that  $B =^* U$ , which means that  $M = B \bigtriangleup U = (B \setminus U) \cup (U \setminus B)$  is meager. But  $B = M \bigtriangleup U = (M \setminus U) \cup (U \setminus M)$ , and because  $\mathscr{A}$  is an algebra and  $U, M \in \mathscr{A}$ we get  $B \in \mathscr{A}$ , showing that  $\mathscr{B} \subset \mathscr{A}$ .

If  $X_n$  is a sequence of sets, we call  $A \subset \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$  a **tail set** if for all  $(x_n) \in A$ and  $(y_n) \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$ ,  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : y_n \neq x_n\}$  being finite implies that  $(y_n) \in A$ . The following theorem states is a **topological zero-one law**,<sup>16</sup> whose proof uses the **Kutatowski-Ulam theorem**,<sup>17</sup> which is about meager sets in a product of two second-countable topological spaces. Since, from the Baire category theorem, any completely metrizable space is a Baire space and a separable metrizable space is second-countable, we can in particular use the following theorem when the  $X_n$  are Polish spaces.

**Theorem 15.** Suppose that  $X_n$  is a sequence of second-countable Baire spaces. If  $A \subset \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$  has the Baire property and is a tail set, then A is either meager or comeager.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, p. 47, Proposition 8.22.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, p. 55, Theorem 8.47.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, p. 53, Theorem 8.41.