# Bernoulli polynomials

### Jordan Bell

### March 9, 2016

## 1 Bernoulli polynomials

For  $k \ge 0$ , the **Bernoulli polynomial**  $B_k(x)$  is defined by

$$\frac{ze^{xz}}{e^z - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k(x) \frac{z^k}{k!}, \qquad |z| < 2\pi.$$
 (1)

The **Bernoulli numbers** are  $B_k = B_k(0)$ , the constant terms of the Bernoulli polynomials. For any x, using L'Hospital's rule the left-hand side of (1) tends to 1 as  $z \to 0$ , and the right-hand side tends to  $B_0(x)$ , hence  $B_0(x) = 1$ . Differentiating (1) with respect to x,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B'_k(x) \frac{z^k}{k!} = \frac{z^2 e^{xz}}{e^z - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k(x) \frac{z^{k+1}}{k!} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{k-1}(x) \frac{z^k}{(k-1)!},$$

so  $B'_0(x) = 0$  and for  $k \ge 1$  we have  $\frac{B'_k(x)}{k!} = \frac{B_{k-1}(x)}{(k-1)!}$ , i.e.  $B'_k(x) = kB_{k-1}(x)$ . Furthermore, for  $k \ge 1$ , integrating (1) with respect to x on [0, 1] produces

$$1 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \int_0^1 B_k(x) dx \right) \frac{z^k}{k!}, \qquad |z| < 2\pi,$$

hence  $\int_0^1 B_0(x) dx = 1$  and for  $k \ge 1$ ,

$$\int_0^1 B_k(x) dx = 0.$$

The first few Bernoulli polynomials are

$$B_0(x) = 1$$
,  $B_1(x) = x - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $B_2(x) = x^2 - x + \frac{1}{6}$ ,  $B_3(x) = x^3 - \frac{3}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{2}x$ .

The Bernoulli polynomials satisfy the following:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k(x+1) \frac{z^k}{k!} = \frac{ze^{(x+1)z}}{e^z - 1}$$
$$= \frac{ze^{xz}(e^z - 1 + 1)}{e^z - 1}$$
$$= ze^{xz} + \frac{ze^{xz}}{e^z - 1}$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k z^{k+1}}{k!} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k(x) \frac{z^k}{k!}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{k-1} z^k}{(k-1)!} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k(x) \frac{z^k}{k!},$$

hence for  $k \ge 1$  it holds that  $B_k(x+1) = kx^{k-1} + B_k(x)$ . In particular, for  $k \ge 2, B_k(1) = B_k(0)$ .

Using (1),

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k (1-x) \frac{z^k}{k!} = \frac{z e^{(1-x)z}}{e^z - 1}$$
$$= \frac{z e^z e^{-xz}}{e^z - 1}$$
$$= \frac{z e^{-xz}}{1 - e^{-z}}$$
$$= \frac{-z e^{-xz}}{e^{-z} - 1}$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k(x) \frac{(-z)^k}{k!},$$

hence for  $k \ge 0$ ,

$$B_k(1-x) = (-1)^k B_k(x).$$

Finally, it is a fact that for  $k \ge 2$ ,

$$\sup_{0 \le x \le 1} |B_k(x)| \le \frac{2\zeta(k)k!}{(2\pi)^k}.$$
(2)

## 2 Periodic Bernoulli functions

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , let [x] be the greatest integer  $\leq x$ , and let R(x) = x - [x], called the fractional part of x. Write  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  and define the **periodic Bernoulli** functions  $P_k : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$P_k(t) = B_k(R(t)), \qquad t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

For  $k \geq 2$ , because  $B_k(1) = B_k(0)$ , the function  $P_k$  is continuous. For  $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{C}$  define its **Fourier transform**  $\widehat{f} : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\widehat{f}(n) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(t) e^{-2\pi i n t} dt, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For  $k \ge 1$ , one calculates  $\widehat{P}_k(0) = 0$  and using integration by parts,

$$\widehat{P}_k(n) = -\frac{1}{(2\pi i n)^k}$$

for  $n \neq 0$ . Thus for  $k \geq 1$ , the Fourier series of  $P_k$  is<sup>1</sup>

$$P_k(t) \sim \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{P}_k(n) e^{2\pi i n t} = -\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^k} \sum_{n \neq 0} n^{-k} e^{2\pi i n t}.$$

For  $k \geq 2$ ,  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{P}_k(n)| < \infty$ , from which it follows that  $\sum_{|n| \leq N} \hat{P}_k(n) e^{2\pi i n t}$  converges to  $P_k(t)$  uniformly for  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ . Furthermore, for  $t \notin \mathbb{Z}$ ,<sup>2</sup>

$$P_1(t) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sin 2\pi nt.$$

For  $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\begin{split} \widehat{f * g}(n) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x - y) g(y) dy \right) e^{-2\pi i n x} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} g(y) \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x - y) e^{-2\pi i n x} dx \right) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} g(y) \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x) e^{-2\pi i n x} e^{-2\pi i n y} dx \right) dy \\ &= \widehat{f}(n) \widehat{g}(n). \end{split}$$

For  $k, l \ge 1$  and for  $n \ne 0$ ,

$$\widehat{P_k * P_l}(n) = \widehat{P_k}(n)\widehat{P_l}(n)$$
$$= -(2\pi i n)^{-k} \cdot -(2\pi i n)^{-l}$$
$$= (2\pi i n)^{-k-l}$$
$$= -\widehat{P_{k+l}}(n),$$

and  $\widehat{P_k * P_l}(0) = 0 = -\widehat{P_{k+l}}(0)$ , so  $P_k * P_l = -P_{k+l}$ .

 $<sup>^1{\</sup>rm cf.}$  http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/mfms/notes\_c/bernoulli.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Hugh L. Montgomery and Robert C. Vaughan, *Multiplicative Number Theory I: Classical Theory*, p. 499, Theorem B.2.

### Euler-Maclaurin summation formula 3

The Euler-Maclaurin summation formula is the following.<sup>3</sup> If a < b are real numbers, K is a positive integer, and f is a  $C^{K}$  function on an open set that contains [a, b], then

$$\sum_{a < m \le b} f(m) = \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{k!} (P_{k}(b) f^{(k-1)}(b) - P_{k}(a) f^{(k-1)}(a)) - \frac{(-1)^{K}}{K!} \int_{a}^{b} P_{K}(x) f^{(K)}(x) dx.$$

Applying the Euler-Maclaurin summation formula with a = 1, b = n, K = $2, f(x) = \log x$  yields<sup>4</sup>

$$\sum_{1 \le m \le n} \log n = n \log n - n + \frac{1}{2} \log n + \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi + O(n^{-1}).$$

Since  $e^{1+O(n^{-1})} = 1 + O(n^{-1})$ ,

$$n! = n^n e^{-n} \sqrt{2\pi n} (1 + O(n^{-1})),$$

Stirling's approximation. Write  $a_n = -\log n + \sum_{1 \le m \le n} \frac{1}{m}$ . Because  $\log(1-x)$  is concave,

$$a_n - a_{n-1} = \frac{1}{n} + \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \le 1 + 1 - \frac{1}{n} = 0,$$

which means that the sequence  $a_n$  is nonincreasing. For  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ , because f is positive and nonincreasing,

$$\sum_{1 \le m \le n} f(m) \ge \int_1^{n+1} f(x) dx = \log(n+1) > \log n,$$

hence  $a_n > 0$ . Because  $a_n$  is positive and nonincreasing, there exists some nonnegative limit,  $\gamma$ , called **Euler's constant**. Using the Euler-Maclaurin summation formula with  $a = 1, b = n, K = 1, f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ , as  $P_1(x) = [x] - \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$\sum_{\substack{n \le n \le n}} \frac{1}{m} = \log n + \frac{1}{2n} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_1^n \frac{1}{x^2} dx - \int_1^n R(x) \frac{1}{x^2} dx,$$

which is

1

$$\sum_{1 < m \le n} \frac{1}{m} = \log n - \int_1^\infty \frac{R(x)}{x^2} dx + \int_n^\infty \frac{R(x)}{x^2} dx;$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Hugh L. Montgomery and Robert C. Vaughan, Multiplicative Number Theory I: Classical Theory, p. 500, Theorem B.5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Hugh L. Montgomery and Robert C. Vaughan, Multiplicative Number Theory I: Classical Theory, p. 503, Eq. B.25.

as  $0 \le R(x)x^{-2} \le x^{-2}$ , the function  $x \mapsto R(x)x^{-2}$  is integrable on  $[1, \infty)$ . Since  $0 \le \int_n^\infty R(x)x^{-2}dx \le \int_n^\infty x^{-2}dx = n^{-1}$ ,

$$\sum_{1 \le m \le n} \frac{1}{m} = \log n + C + O(n^{-1})$$

for  $C = 1 - \int_1^\infty R(x) x^{-2}$ . But  $-\log n + \sum_{1 \le m \le n} \frac{1}{m} \to \gamma$  as  $n \to \infty$ , from which it follows that  $C = \gamma$ , and thus

$$\sum_{1 \le m \le n} \frac{1}{m} = \log n + \gamma + O(n^{-1}).$$

### 4 Hurwitz zeta function

For  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and  $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$ , define the **Hurwitz zeta function** by

$$\zeta(s,\alpha) = \sum_{n \ge 0} (n+\alpha)^{-s}.$$

For  $\operatorname{Re} s > 0$ ,

$$\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt,$$

and for  $n \ge 0$  do the change of variable  $t = (n + \alpha)u$ ,

$$\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty (n+\alpha)^{s-1} u^{s-1} e^{-(n+\alpha)u} (n+\alpha) du$$
$$= (n+\alpha)^s \int_0^\infty u^{s-1} e^{-nu} e^{-\alpha u} du.$$

For real s > 1,

$$(n+\alpha)^{-s}\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty u^{s-1} e^{-nu} e^{-\alpha u} du.$$

Then

$$\sum_{0 \le n \le N} (n+\alpha)^{-s} \Gamma(s) = \sum_{0 \le n \le N} \int_0^\infty u^{s-1} e^{-nu} e^{-\alpha u} du = \int_0^\infty f_N(s, u) du,$$

where

$$f_N(s,u) = \begin{cases} u^{s-1}e^{-\alpha u}\frac{1-e^{-(N+1)u}}{1-e^{-u}} & u > 0\\ 0 & u = 0. \end{cases}$$

 $f_N(s, u) \ge 0$  and the sequence  $f_N(s, u)$  is pointwise nondecreasing, and

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} f_N(s, u) = f(s, u) = \begin{cases} u^{s-1} e^{-\alpha u} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-u}} & u > 0\\ 0 & u = 0. \end{cases}$$

By the monotone convergence theorem,

$$\int_0^\infty f_N(s,u)du \to \int_0^\infty f(s,u)du,$$

which means that, for real s > 1,

$$\zeta(s,\alpha)\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty f(s,u)du.$$

Write

$$\int_0^\infty f(s,u)du = \int_0^1 f(s,u)du + \int_1^\infty f(s,u)du.$$

Now, by (1), for  $0 < u < 2\pi$ ,

$$f(s, u) = u^{s-1} e^{-\alpha u} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-u}}$$
  
=  $u^{s-2} \cdot \frac{-u e^{-\alpha u}}{e^{-u} - 1}$   
=  $u^{s-2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k(\alpha) \frac{(-u)^k}{k!}$   
=  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k B_k(\alpha) \frac{u^{k+s-2}}{k!}$ 

For  $k \ge 2$ , real s > 1, and  $0 < u < 2\pi$ , by (2),

$$\left| B_k(\alpha) \frac{u^{k+s-2}}{k!} \right| \le \frac{2\zeta(k)k!}{(2\pi)^k} \cdot u^{k+s-2} \cdot \frac{1}{k!} = 2\zeta(k) \left(\frac{u}{2\pi}\right)^k u^{s-2},$$

which is summable, and thus by the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\int_0^1 f(s,u) du = \int_0^1 \sum_{k=0}^\infty (-1)^k B_k(\alpha) \frac{u^{k+s-2}}{k!} du$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^\infty (-1)^k B_k(\alpha) \frac{1}{k!} \frac{1}{k+s-1}.$$

Check that  $s \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k B_k(\alpha) \frac{1}{k!} \frac{1}{k+s-1}$  is meromorphic on  $\mathbb{C}$ , with poles of order 0 or 1 at  $s = -k+1, k \ge 0$  (the order of the pole is 0 if  $B_k(\alpha) = 0$ ), at which the residue is  $(-1)^k B_k(\alpha) \frac{1}{k!}$ .<sup>5</sup> On the other hand, check that  $s \mapsto \int_1^{\infty} f(s, u) du$  is entire. Therefore  $\zeta(s, \alpha) \Gamma(s)$  is meromorphic on  $\mathbb{C}$ , with poles of order 0 or 1 at  $s = -k+1, k \ge 0$  and the residue of  $\zeta(s, \alpha) \Gamma(s)$  at s = -k+1 is  $(-1)^k B_k(\alpha) \frac{1}{k!}$ . But it is a fact that  $\Gamma(s)$  has poles of order 1 at  $s = -n, n \ge 0$ , with residue  $\frac{(-1)^n}{n!}$ . Hence the only pole of  $\zeta(s, \alpha)$  is at s = 1, at which the residue is 1.

 $<sup>^5</sup>$ Kazuya Kato, Nobushige Kurokawa, and Takeshi Saito, Number Theory 1: Fermat's Dream, p. 96.

**Theorem 1.** For  $n \ge 1$  and for  $0 < \alpha \le 1$ ,

$$\zeta(1-n,\alpha) = -\frac{B_n(\alpha)}{n}.$$

*Proof.* For  $n \ge 1$ , because  $\zeta(s, \alpha)$  does not have a pole at s = 1 - n and because  $\Gamma(s)$  has a pole of order 1 at s = 1 - n with residue  $\frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$ ,

$$\begin{split} \lim_{s \to 1-n} (s - (1 - n))\Gamma(s)\zeta(s, \alpha) &= \zeta(1 - n, \alpha) \cdot \lim_{s \to 1-n} (s - (1 - n))\Gamma(s) \\ &= \zeta(1 - n, \alpha) \cdot \operatorname{Res}_{s = 1-n}\Gamma(s) \\ &= \zeta(1 - n, \alpha) \cdot \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}. \end{split}$$

On the other hand,  $\zeta(s,\alpha)\Gamma(s)$  has a pole of order 1 at s = 1 - n with residue  $(-1)^n B_n(\alpha) \frac{1}{n!}$ . Therefore

$$\zeta(1-n,\alpha) \cdot \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} = (-1)^n B_n(\alpha) \frac{1}{n!},$$

i.e. for  $n \ge 1$  and  $0 < \alpha \le 1$ ,

$$\zeta(1-n,\alpha) = -\frac{B_n(\alpha)}{n}.$$

### 5 Sobolev spaces

For real  $s \ge 0$ , we define the **Sobolev space**  $H^s(\mathbb{T})$  as the set of those  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$  such that

$$|\widehat{f}(0)|^2 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} |\widehat{f}(n)|^2 |n|^{2s} < \infty.$$

For  $f, g \in H^s(\mathbb{T})$ , define

$$\langle f,g \rangle_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} = \widehat{f}(0)\overline{\widehat{g}(0)} + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \widehat{f}(n)\overline{\widehat{g}(n)} |n|^{2s}.$$

This is an inner product, with which  $H^{s}(\mathbb{T})$  is a Hilbert space.<sup>6</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>See http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/mfms/notes/09\_sobolev.pdf

For  $c \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ , if  $s > r + \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$\begin{split} \left\| \sum_{||n| \le N} c_n e^{2\pi i n x} \right\|_{C^r(\mathbb{T})} \\ &= \sup_{0 \le j \le r} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{T}} \left| \sum_{|n| \le N} c_n (2\pi i n)^j e^{2\pi i n x} \right| \\ &\le |c_0|^2 + \sup_{0 \le j \le r} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{T}} \left| \sum_{1 \le |n| \le N} c_n (2\pi i n)^j e^{2\pi i n x} \right| \\ &\le |c_0|^2 + (2\pi)^r \sum_{1 \le |n| \le N} |c_n| |n|^r \\ &= |c_0|^2 + (2\pi)^r \sum_{1 \le |n| \le N} |c_n|^2 |n|^{2s} \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{1 \le |n| \le N} |n|^{-(r-s)} \\ &\le |c_0|^2 + (2\pi)^r \left( \sum_{1 \le |n| \le N} |c_n|^2 |n|^{2s} \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{1 \le |n| \le N} |n|^{-(2s-2r)} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\le |c_0|^2 + (2\pi)^r \cdot (2 \cdot \zeta (2s - 2r))^{1/2} \cdot \left( \sum_{1 \le |n| \le N} |c_n|^2 |n|^{2s} \right)^{1/2}. \end{split}$$

For  $f \in H^s(\mathbb{T})$ , the partial sums  $\sum_{|n| \leq N} \widehat{f}(n) e^{2\pi i n x}$  are a Cauchy sequence in  $H^s(\mathbb{T})$  and by the above are a Cauchy sequence in the Banach space  $C^r(\mathbb{T})$  and so converge to some  $g \in C^r(\mathbb{T})$ . Then  $\widehat{g} = \widehat{f}$ , which implies that g = f almost everywhere.

For  $k \ge 1$ ,  $\hat{P}_k(0) = 0$  and  $\hat{P}_k(n) = -(2\pi i n)^{-k}$  for  $n \ne 0$ . For  $k, l > s + \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$\langle P_k, P_l \rangle_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} -(2\pi i n)^{-k} \overline{-(2\pi i n)^{-l}}$$
$$= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} i^{-k+l} (2\pi n)^{-k-l}$$
$$= i^{-k+l} (2\pi)^{-k-l} \cdot 2 \cdot \zeta(k+l).$$

Thus if  $k > s + \frac{1}{2}$  then  $P_k \in H^s(\mathbb{T})$ , and in particular  $P_k \in H^{k-1}(\mathbb{T})$  for  $k \ge 1$ . For  $s > r + \frac{1}{2}$ , if  $f \in H^s(\mathbb{T})$  then there is some  $g \in C^r(\mathbb{T})$  such that g = f almost everywhere. Thus if  $r + \frac{1}{2} < s < k - \frac{1}{2}$ , i.e. k > r + 1, then there is some  $g \in C^r(\mathbb{T})$  such that  $g = P_k$  almost everywhere. But for  $k \ne 1$ ,  $P_k$  is continuous, so in fact  $g = P_k$ . In particular,  $P_k \in C^{k-2}(\mathbb{T})$  for  $k \ge 2$ .

## 6 Reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces

For  $x \in \mathbb{T}$  and  $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{C}$ , define  $(\tau_x f)(y) = f(y - x)$ . We calculate

$$\widehat{f_x f}(n) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(y-x) e^{-2\pi i n y} dy$$
$$= e^{-2\pi i n x} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(y) e^{-2\pi i n y} dy$$
$$= e^{-2\pi i n x} \widehat{f}(n).$$

Let  $r \geq 1$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{T}$ , define  $F_x : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$F_x = 1 + (-1)^{r-1} (2\pi)^{2r} \tau_x P_{2r}.$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\widehat{F}_x(n) = \delta_0(n) + (-1)^{r-1} (2\pi)^{2r} \cdot e^{-2\pi i n x} \widehat{P}_{2r}(n).$$

 $\widehat{F_x}(0) = 1$ , and for  $n \neq 0$ ,

$$\widehat{F_x}(n) = (-1)^{r-1} (2\pi)^{2r} \cdot e^{-2\pi i nx} \cdot -(2\pi i n)^{-2r} = |n|^{-2r} e^{-2\pi i nx}.$$

For  $f \in H^r(\mathbb{T})$ ,

$$\begin{split} \langle f, F_x \rangle_{H^r(\mathbb{T})} &= \widehat{f}(0)\overline{\widehat{F_x}(0)} + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \widehat{f}(n)\overline{\widehat{F_x}(n)} |n|^{2r} \\ &= \widehat{f}(0) + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \widehat{f}(n) |n|^{-2r} e^{2\pi i n x} |n|^{2r} \\ &= \widehat{f}(0) + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \widehat{f}(n) e^{2\pi i n x} \\ &= f(x). \end{split}$$

This shows that  $H^r(\mathbb{T})$  is a **reproducing kernel Hilbert space**. Define  $F : \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$F(x, y) = \langle F_x, F_y \rangle_{H^r(\mathbb{T})}$$
  
=  $F_x(y)$   
=  $1 + (-1)^{r-1} (2\pi)^{2r} P_{2r}(y - x).$ 

Thus the **reproducing kernel** of  $H^r(\mathbb{T})$  is<sup>7</sup>

$$F(x,y) = 1 + (-1)^{r-1} (2\pi)^{2r} P_{2r}(y-x).$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>cf. Alain Berlinet and Christine Thomas-Agnan, *Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Spaces in Probability and Statistics*, p. 318, who use a different inner product on  $H^{r}(\mathbb{T})$  and consequently have a different expression for the reproducing kernel.